

Proposed Rule 323.1, Architectural Coatings

Public Workshop
Santa Barbara County
Air Pollution Control District



Rule 323.1 Project


Douglas Grapple
 Air Quality Engineer
 March 11, 2014



www.OurAir.org


Presentation

- Objectives and Background
- Tentative Schedule
- Reasons for Amending the Architectural Coating Rule Requirements
- Recent Project Changes
- Rule 323 vs. Rule 323.1 (Major Requirement Changes)
- Implications



Objectives and Background

- Purposes of the Workshop
 - Give stakeholders a general overview of the architectural coatings rule we are proposing, and
 - Obtain stakeholders input on the project
- Existing Architectural Coatings Rule 323
 - Based on the 2000 ARB Suggested Control Measure (SCM)
 - Reduced Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by ~ 40 TPY by lowering coating VOC content limits



Tentative Schedule


- Staff requests comments on the March 7, 2014 draft rule by March 25, 2014
- Community Advisory Council meeting on May 14, 2014
- District Board of Directors Public Hearing on adopting Rule 323.1 on June 19, 2014



Reasons for Amending the Architectural Coating Rule Requirements


Further reduce coating VOC emissions by adopting the ARB 2007 SCM limits and to reduce emissions through enhanced work practice requirements

Adoption of Rule 323.1 will fulfill a commitment made in the 2010 Clean Air Plan



Recent Project Changes

1. Solvent VOC content provisions in the Section D.4.g now tied to the Consumer Products Regulation; ~~(25 g/l)~~
2. Definitions, Solvent Manufacturer Requirements, and Test Method SC 313 Deleted due to the item 1 change
3. Changed the Reactive Penetrating Sealer definition water vapor transmission rate criteria from 60 to 2 percent (per 2007 SCM)
4. Other minor changes



Rule 323 vs. Rule 323.1 (Major Requirement Changes)

- Section A, Applicability
- Section B, Exemptions
- Section C, Definitions
- Section D, Standards
 - VOC Content Limits
 - Most Restrictive VOC Limit
 - Sell-Through of Coatings



Rule 323 vs. Rule 323.1 (Cont.)

- Section D, Standards (cont.)
 - Work Practices
- Section E, Container Labeling Requirements
- Section F, Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements



Rule 323 vs. Rule 323.1 (Cont.)

- Section G, Compliance Provisions and Test Methods
- VOC Content Limits in Table 323.1-1



Implications

- Coating Manufacturers
- Painters
- Cost Effectiveness
- VOC Emission Reduction



Questions or Comments

- Questions?
- Comments?

