

*** DRAFT ***

	Case No / Date	2016-32-R	11/02/16
	Petitioner	ExxonMobil Production Company	
	Permit #	Part 70/PTO 8092	
	Date Rec'd	10/11/16	
	Time Rec'd	12:18	
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY			

**BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD
OF THE SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT**

In The Matter of the Application of)
 ExxonMobil Production Company for a)
 Regular Variance from District Rules)
 311, 325, 328, 331, 342, 359, and)
 206, Conditions 9.B.12,)
 9.C.1(b)(iii)(2)(a), 9.C.1(b)(iv)(1),)
 9.C.1(c)(i), 9.C.1(c)(ii), 9.C.1(c)(v),)
 9.C.1(c)(vi), 9.C.1(c)(vii), 9.C.1(c)(viii),)
 9.C.1(d), 9.C.1(d)(i), 9.C.1(d)(ii),)
 9.C.1(d)(iii), 9.C.1(d)(iv), 9.C.1(d)(v),)
 9.C.1(d)(vi), 9.C.1(d)(vii), 9.C.1(d)(viii),)
 9.C.2(c), 9.C.2(c)(ii), 9.C.2(c)(iii),)
 9.C.2(c)(iv), 9.C.2(c)(v), 9.C.2(c)(vi),)
 9.C.2(c)(vii), 9.C.2(c)(x), 9.C.2(d),)
 9.C.2(d)(i), 9.C.2(d)(ii), 9.C.2(d)(iii),)
 9.C.2(d)(iv), 9.C.2(d)(v), 9.C.2(d)(vi),)
 9.C.2(d)(vii), 9.C.2(d)(viii), 9.C.3(b)(i),)
 9.C.7(b)(ii), 9.C.7(b)(v), 9.C.7(d),)
 9.C.7(d)(i), 9.C.8(f), 9.C.10(a),)
 9.C.10(b), 9.C.10(d), 9.C.10(g),)
 9.C.10(j), 9.C.10(k)(5), 9.C.10(k)(6),)
 9.C.10(k)(7), 9.C.10(k)(8), 9.C.14,)
 9.C.17, 9.C.19, 9.C.25(b), 9.C.25(c)(i),)
 9.C.25(c)(ii), 9.C.27(a), 9.C.27(b),)
 9.C.28, 9.C.36(b), and 9.D.3(c) of Part)
 70/Permit to Operate 8092.)

*** DRAFT ***

H.B. Case No. 2016-32-R

VARIANCE FINDINGS

AND ORDER

On October 11, 2016, ExxonMobil Production Company filed a Petition for a Regular Variance. The Regular Variance was heard on November 2, 2016 in accordance with Health and Safety Code Section 40808 with Patrice Surmeier appearing for the Petitioner and Mike McKay appearing for the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District.

This matter having been fully presented and duly considered, the Hearing Board makes the following findings and gives the following reasons for its decision.

1. Notice of the Hearing was duly given in the manner and for the time required by law.
2. Sworn testimony and argument on behalf of the Petitioner and the Air Pollution Control Officer were made, received and considered.
3. The Petitioner operates the equipment described in the Petition at the Pacific Offshore Pipeline Company (POPCO) gas processing plant located at 12000 Calle Real, Goleta, CA, approximately 25 miles northwest of the City of Santa Barbara, CA.
4. ExxonMobil Production Company, a division of Exxon Mobil Corporation, is the holder of Permit to Operate 8092. This main facility permit authorizes the operation of equipment and processes located at the Pacific Offshore Pipeline Company (POPCO) Gas Plant which is part of the Exxon Santa Ynez Unit Project.
5. As a result of the Plains All American Pipeline (AAPL) Line 901 failure on May 19, 2015, ExxonMobil experienced facility impacts. Due to these impacts, SYU onshore and offshore facilities are temporarily ceasing operations. On June 16, 2015 incoming platform gas was terminated. Preservation plans for the facility are still in progress. Line 901 remains shutdown and Plains continues to work with local and federal agencies to understand the nature of the failure and repair options. At this time, it is unclear when the restart of the facility may occur. The Petitioner feels preservation activities may occur for an extended period. The Petitioner is requesting relief from the requirements to perform many measurement and/or recordkeeping procedures of process parameters that are infeasible, impractical, or do not exist during this extended shutdown.
6. Without variance coverage, the Petitioner would be in violation of District Rules 311, 325, 328, 331, 342, 359, and 206, Conditions 9.B.12, 9.C.1(b)(iii)(2)(a), 9.C.1(b)(iv)(1), 9.C.1(c)(i), 9.C.1(c)(ii), 9.C.1(c)(v), 9.C.1(c)(vi), 9.C.1(c)(vii), 9.C.1(c)(viii), 9.C.1(d), 9.C.1(d)(i), 9.C.1(d)(ii), 9.C.1(d)(iii), 9.C.1(d)(iv), 9.C.1(d)(v), 9.C.1(d)(vi), 9.C.1(d)(vii), 9.C.1(d)(viii), 9.C.2(c), 9.C.2(c)(ii), 9.C.2(c)(iii), 9.C.2(c)(iv), 9.C.2(c)(v), 9.C.2(c)(vi), 9.C.2(c)(vii), 9.C.2(c)(x), 9.C.2(d), 9.C.2(d)(i), 9.C.2(d)(ii), 9.C.2(d)(iii), 9.C.2(d)(iv), 9.C.2(d)(v), 9.C.2(d)(vi), 9.C.2(d)(vii), 9.C.2(d)(viii), 9.C.3(b)(i), 9.C.7(b)(ii), 9.C.7(b)(v), 9.C.7(d), 9.C.7(d)(i), 9.C.8(f), 9.C.10(a), 9.C.10(b), 9.C.10(d),

9.C.10(g), 9.C.10(j), 9.C.10(k)(5), 9.C.10(k)(6), 9.C.10(k)(7), 9.C.10(k)(8), 9.C.14, 9.C.17, 9.C.19, 9.C.25(b), 9.C.25(c)(i), 9.C.25(c)(ii), 9.C.27(a), 9.C.27(b), 9.C.28, 9.C.36(b), and 9.D.3(c) of Part 70/Permit to Operate 8092.

7. Due to conditions beyond the reasonable control of the Petitioner, compliance would result in an arbitrary or unreasonable taking of property as the pipeline closure is outside of the Petitioner's control.
8. Closing or taking would be without a corresponding benefit in reducing air contaminants as there are no expected excess emissions as a result of the granting of this Variance.
9. The Petitioner has curtailed operations in that the entire facility is shut in and will continue to remain shut in until a compliant method of oil shipment is established.
10. There are no expected excess emissions due to the granting of this variance.
11. The Petitioner will monitor the dates, hours, and all emissions, if any, related to the granting of this Variance.
12. A nuisance as defined in District Rule 303 is not expected to occur as a result of this variance and continued operation is not likely to create an immediate threat or hazard to public health or safety.
13. The District staff supports the Petition as conditioned below.

THEREFORE, THE HEARING BOARD ORDERS, as follows:

That a Regular Variance be granted for the continued operation of the Petitioner's POPCO facility in violation of District Rules 311, 325, 328, 331, 342, 359, and 206, Conditions 9.B.12, 9.C.1(b)(iii)(2)(a), 9.C.1(b)(iv)(1), 9.C.1(c)(i), 9.C.1(c)(ii), 9.C.1(c)(v), 9.C.1(c)(vi), 9.C.1(c)(vii), 9.C.1(c)(viii), 9.C.1(d), 9.C.1(d)(i), 9.C.1(d)(ii), 9.C.1(d)(iii), 9.C.1(d)(iv), 9.C.1(d)(v), 9.C.1(d)(vi), 9.C.1(d)(vii), 9.C.1(d)(viii), 9.C.2(c), 9.C.2(c)(ii), 9.C.2(c)(iii), 9.C.2(c)(iv), 9.C.2(c)(v), 9.C.2(c)(vi), 9.C.2(c)(vii), 9.C.2(c)(x), 9.C.2(d), 9.C.2(d)(i), 9.C.2(d)(ii), 9.C.2(d)(iii), 9.C.2(d)(iv), 9.C.2(d)(v), 9.C.2(d)(vi), 9.C.2(d)(vii), 9.C.2(d)(viii), 9.C.3(b)(i), 9.C.7(b)(ii), 9.C.7(b)(v), 9.C.7(d), 9.C.7(d)(i), 9.C.8(f), 9.C.10(a), 9.C.10(b), 9.C.10(d), 9.C.10(g), 9.C.10(j), 9.C.10(k)(5), 9.C.10(k)(6), 9.C.10(k)(7), 9.C.10(k)(8), 9.C.14, 9.C.17, 9.C.19, 9.C.25(b), 9.C.25(c)(i), 9.C.25(c)(ii), 9.C.27(a), 9.C.27(b), 9.C.28, 9.C.36(b), 9.D.3(c) of Part 70/Permit to Operate 8092 from November 02, 2016 through November 01, 2017, or the date the facility resumes platform gas processing, whichever occurs first, with the following conditions:

1. Petitioner shall submit increments of progress to the Hearing Board and District on the first day of every calendar quarter after the date of approval of the Regular Variance

beginning January 01, 2017. The increments of progress report shall include: status of compliance, any corrective action taken to date, and the dates, times, volumes and actual emissions, if any, that occurred during the variance.

2. Petitioner shall submit a final report to the Hearing Board and District within thirty (30) days of the facility returning to compliance. The report shall include the date compliance was achieved together with the corrective actions taken and the dates, times, volumes and actual emissions, if any, that occurred during the variance period. If corrective action would be subject to District permits, then permits must be obtained prior to initiating corrective action.
3. Petitioner shall retain the obligation to comply with all other local, state and federal regulations not specifically referenced in the Order.
4. Failure to abide by all conditions of this Order shall subject the Petitioner receiving the variance to penalties set forth in Health and Safety Code section 42402.
5. Each day during any portion of which a violation occurs is a separate offense.

DATED: _____

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

Chair
Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District
Hearing Board